G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.
S.R. 179-16-5-8

1922 (Stamps)

File No. 17-22

Serial Nos. 142

Later references.

Preserved

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

212/E

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for Feb. 23.

SUBJECT

The question of furnishing cash security by the Storekeeper of the Stamp Superintendent's office.

---

sl. 2

To, the ~~Dot~~ Commissioner of Stamps. Letter No. 3563 of 8/2/1923 states that the Storekeeper referred to must pay cash security.

By 15/2/23

Printed on the Multigraph.

1922-23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(86)

NOTES.

File No. 17-22.

FILE HEADING.

Security and pay of the Store-Keeper of the Stamps Superintendent's office.

From

The Comr. of Stamps,

No. CR. 85 F2-23 Dated 24-12-22 Received 26-12-22.

Diary No. 2363 | Enclos. 1 | Spare Copies. — | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that either the pay of the Store-Keeper of the Stamps Superintendent's office may be increased or that he may be permitted to offer immoveable property as security.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Govt. had once permitted the Store-Keeper to furnish security in two shapes of immoveable property. (Vide G.O. No. 96-43310 dated 15th Feby. 1915 S.R. 179-1645).

Flag B

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | St File No. 17 of 1922.

Serial No.

It was only later on that the official offered Cash security in lieu of the immovable property formerly accepted as security. The present store-keeper wants the concession granted in the G.O. of 15th Feby. 1918 to be renewed. As this official does not handle Govt. money, but is in charge of only the special papers used in manufacturing stamps, it is a point for consideration whether the concession may be renewed as desired by him.

2. As regards increasing ~~granting~~ the pay of the post, Chief store-keepers of Executive Engineer's offices in the P.W.D. are paid Rs 100. As the store-keeper of the stamp supply office is in charge of more valuable Govt. stores than store-keepers of the P.W.D. Divisions.

Vide 108 of the Budget estimates for the year 1922-23.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2353.

G. G. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SL File No. 17 of 1926

Serial No.

It is a point for orders whether the pay of the post does not require enhancement. The official is in charge of special papers used for manufacturing stamps worth amounting in value to as much as Rs 30,000 or Rs 40,000 on some occasions. Submitted for orders. Attention is invited to Govt para 2 of the stamp Commissioner's letter No. 3-1-25 No. 336 dated 17th Dec. 1925 on this point.

Page A

3-1-25 3/1/27

2. As observed by the Comptr in his letter of 31.1.18 (82 no 7 in Reference file) it is inconvenient to take immoveable property as Security. Whatever reasons there may have been at the time of the order of 18.2.18 to permit him to keep his Security in that form there is no justification now to permit the alteration of the existing cash Security into one of immoveable property

P

15

NOTE—Contn: sheet.

(4)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

2353

8V File No. 7 of 19 22

Serial No.

It is not clear from the Stamp Supdt's recommendation whether the alternative Remarks of the Stamp Supdt — viz' Commn of Security into loss or use incurred ensuing — that is proposed recommended to be granted. The Stamp Comrs Asst has not made any definite recommendation that may be called for this case?

Rev Secy) 4. Yes 4/8/23 mad 6½3

2nd member) 4 4 4 4 0 8/1/23 mad 8/1/23

5. The suspension Case seems to depend upon representation made in regard the issuance of pass if that is deemed necessary

Vide 4/2 4/5/2/23 mad

6. Submitted with a draft in accordance with para 5 of the notes.

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

6-2-23 7/2 Rev Secy) 4. 7. 23 mad 7/2

Issue mad 7/2

(5

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 17 of 1922

Serial No.	
2	To the Comr of St. – Letter No 3563 0/8 2/23. States that the Storekeeper of the St. Supdt's Office must furnish Cash security etc.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3566

Office of the Commissioner of stamps

in Mysore,

Bangalore Dated 24 December 1922.

DIARY No. 2353

1922 - 1923

From

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
Commissioner of stamps in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

File No. 5-22

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department
Bangalore.

S i r ,

I have the honour to submit herewith an application from B. Soorappa, Store Keeper of the Stamp Superintendent's office praying that he might either be granted an increase of pay or permitted to convert his security from cash into immoveable property. As his pay is only Rs.40, I agree with the Superintendent that the prayer deserves favourable consideration and beg to recommend it.

I have the honour to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

COMMISSIONER. of stamps.

24-12-22

Quest
Dml 13-12-22
Recommend Accd
Lee 12/12

The Commissioner of Stamps in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Through

The Superintendent of Stamps in Mysore,

BANGALORE.



Respected Sir,

I, the undersigned, beg respectfully to place the following facts before you for your most generous consideration and recommendation to Government :-

Briefly I beg to submit that I joined service as an official of the Government Press in 1906 and was promoted as Store-keeper of the stamp manufactory in 1914. In the year 1915 the Stock Branch was transferred to this office where I am continuing on Rs. 30-2-40, drawing actually Rs. 40/- up-to-date.

Regarding my security, I originally furnished my house; and though Government subsequently insisted upon having cash Security, the then Commissioner of Stamps was pleased upon a consideration of my hard case to permit me as a special case, to give my House Property as Security and to direct a Cash Security being taken only when there will be a change of incumbent.

Unfortunately my circumstances became most deplorable. During the dreadful Influenza of 1918, all my family members became seriously ill and my mother passed away with the result that I was compelled to dispose of by sale the House Property given as Security to Government, at a loss. I did so, and out of the amount realized, I gave a Cash Security of Rs. 1,000/- which was accepted by Government. On this Cash Security, I am allowed an interest of 6 per cent. per annum.

I have put in a total service of 17 years, 8 of which have been in this office. I have all along been discharging my duties to the best satisfaction of my superior officers.

What I now beg to place before you is the fact that there are hardly any prospects for me in my present capacity unless some special consideration is shown to me. The pay of my appointment which was fixed 30-2-40 in 1898 continues the same even to-day. I have had no promotion of any kind except the small addition of Rupees 5/- granted generally throughout the State in lieu of the Grain Compensation Allowance.

Store-keepers attached to the Government Stationery Depot and Government Press whose responsibilities are comparatively much less than my own, are drawing higher salaries of Rs. 67/- and 55/- per mensem, respectively, and as regards their Security, immoveable property to the extent of Rs. 500/- has been accepted in the case of the former, while a sum of Rs. 5/- is being deducted every month from the salary of the latter to make up a Cash Security of Rs. 500/-. Compared with these, not only is my pay comparatively lower, but even in the case of Security also I am a heavy sufferer; for, in these days of hardship, it is very difficult to raise Cash loans and I am myself paying a heavy rate of interest at 18% on my liabilities while I am getting a small rate of 6% on my Security amount thus subjecting myself to an indirect additional loss of Rs. 10/- per mensem. If I had continued my service in the Government Press where I served for 9 years, it is possible I may have risen in life seeing that most of my juniors have now been drawing Rs. 50/- and 55/-.

These, Sir, are my unfortunate circumstances. I

am

I am a poor man with a large family and what little I get is not enough to make up half rations.

In placing these pitiable aspects of my case before you, I most humbly pray that I may be recommended for an increase of pay, or, if this be found impracticable, I may at least be permitted to take back the Cash Security of Rs. 1,000/- and to give in lieu immoveable property to the extent required. Such a consideration had been graciously shown to me on a previous occasion and this arrangement if kindly acceded to will indirectly benefit me to the extent of Rs. 10/- per mensem without in any way affecting the interests of Government.

I pray you will give these my humble prayers your most gracious consideration for which I shall feel highly grateful.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B Soorappa
Store-Keeper
Stamps Superintendent's
Office, Bangalore.

20th December 1922.

Submitted for the very favorable consideration of the Commr. of Stamps. The petitioner work has been very satisfactory and he has no way exaggerated his responsibility if I might venture to say so, it is even greater than that of the Stationery and Press Store-Keeper. If they have been shown concession in the matter of their Security, there is no reason why the Stamps Store-Keeper should not. There is no more reason to do so, in view of the fact that the latter gets less pay and also that immoveable property was applied from him as Security for a long time. The petitioner has borrowed the cash he has furnished as Security at a high rate of interest to which extent he has been put in other matters to his financial position. I would therefore strongly recommend that

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Security and pay of the Store-Keeper, Stamp Supdt's Office.

Diary No. of letter replied to 2253

Section

File No. 17-22

Serial No 92

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

No. 73563

, the *191* .

Date of issue 8/2/23

Signed by [illegible]

To

The Comr. of Stamps.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no: C. R. 80 dated 24th Decr. 1922 recommending that either the pay of the Store-Keeper of the Stamp Superintendent's office may be increased or that he may be permitted to offer irremovable

over.

12/

property as security, I
am directed to state that the
store-keeper should furnish cash
security, and that of any increase of pay
a separate representation may be made to Govt.
proposals may, if deemed
necessary be made to
as regards the
increase of the pay of the
post, if that is deemed necessary.

I have etc.,

Secy. to Govt
Rev. Dept.

7/12/23

7/12/23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by